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## Preface

The Program Evaluation Division of the North Carolina General Assembly was established in June 2007 to evaluate whether public services are delivered in an effective and efficient manner and in accordance with the law. As part of that mission, the Division is charged with developing quantitative indicators for measuring the activities performed and services provided by state agencies and the extent to which the activities and services are achieving desired results. How North Carolina Compares provides a framework on selected benchmarks for ranking North Carolina relative to other states and may be useful when evaluating ultimate outcomes of state government programs.

This second edition of this publication ranks North Carolina on areas including population, health, taxes, expenditures, and education. Data were extracted from the U.S. Census Bureau and other national databanks to assure uniformity of measurement across states.

This publication was designed as a quick reference that allows state comparisons across indicators, and we encourage feedback from members of the General Assembly on ways to improve its usefulness. We have provided a guide to reading the compendium on page iii, followed by a summary of how North Carolina ranks on each of the 25 indicators. As described in the reader's guide, when known, the value states aspire to was ranked first. Otherwise, the highest value was ranked first.

Sincerely,


John W. Turcotte
Director
Program Evaluation Division
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How to Read This Compendium

| Rank |  | Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Utah | 4.5 |
| 2 | Minnesota | 5.1 |
| 2 | Washington | 5.1 |
| 4 | Massachusetts | 5.2 |
| 4 | New Jersey | 5.2 |
| 6 | California | 5.3 |
| 6 | lowa | 5.3 |
| 6 | New Hampshire | 5.3 |
| 9 | Nebraska | 5.6 |
| \％ 10 | Connecticut | 5.8 |
| $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\text { ¢ }}$ | Nevada | 5.8 |
| を 10 | New York | 5.8 |
| $\pm$ | Alaska | 5.9 |
| 灾 13 | Oregon | 5.9 |
| 은 15 | North Dakota | 6.0 |
| ¢ 16 | Idaho | 6.1 |
| $\stackrel{17}{+}$ | New Mexico | 6.1 |
| こ 18 | Colorado | 6.4 |
| － 20 | Hawaii | 6.5 |
| ¢ 20 | Rhode Island | 6.5 |
| \％ 20 | Vermont | 6.5 |
| 24 | Kentucky | 6.6 |
| 24 | Texas | 6.6 |
| 24 | Wisconsin | 6.6 |
| 25 | Wyoming | 6.8 |
| 27 | Arizona | 6.9 |
| 27 | Maine | 6.9 |
| 28 | Montana | 7.0 |
|  | 50－State Average | 7.0 |
| 29 | Florida | 7.2 |
| 29 | South Dakota | 7.2 |
| 31 | Maryland | 7.3 |
| 31 | Pennsylvania | 7.3 |
| ¢ 33 | Illinois | 7.4 |
| － 33 | Kansas | 7.4 |
| ¢ 35 | Missouri | 7.5 |
| $\pm 35$ | Virginia | 7.5 |
| 㐫 37 | Arkansas | 7.9 |
| ó 37 | Michigan | 7.9 |
| 39 | Indiana | 8.0 |
| $\stackrel{40}{+}$ | Oklahoma | 8.1 |
| 3040 | West Virginia | 8.1 |
| ¢ 42 | Georgia | 8.2 |
| ¢ 43 | Ohio | 8.3 |
| \％ 44 | North Carolina | 8.8 |
| 45 | Tennessee | 8.9 |
| 46 | Delaware | 9.0 |
| 47 | Alabama | 9.4 |
| 47 | South Carolina | 9.4 |
| 49 | Louisiana | 10.1 |
| 50 | Mississippi | 11.4 |



The map shows states ranked above the 50 －State Average（according to the table to the left）in gray and states ranked below the 50－State Average in white．The table to the left is shaded to correspond to the shading of the map．

Bulleted information includes
－The value states aspire to for the indicator，when known．Otherwise，the bullet states the highest value was ranked first．
－Information about the data in the table， including the time period of the data in the table．
－North Carolina＇s rank on the indicator the last time this compendium was published．
－Additional data on the topic，when available．
－Some pages include a comparison to the 12 southeastern states，which are defined as Alabama，Arkansas，Florida， Georgia，Kentucky，Louisiana， Mississippi，North Carolina，South Carolina，Tennessee，Virginia，and West Virginia．
－A definition of the indicator，if necessary．

The source of information in the table and bullets is listed here．

## Summary Table

| Table |  | Measure | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Population | 9,535,483 | 10 |
| 2 | Percent Change in Population | 18.5\% | 6 |
| 3 | Median Household Income | \$43,674 | 39 |
| 4 | Unemployment Rate | 10.6\% | 44 |
| 5 | Percentage Employed in Computer or Math Occupations | 2.4\% | 16 |
| 6 | Percentage of Population Living in Poverty | 16.3\% | 38 |
| 7 | Percentage of Population Without Health Insurance | 20.4\% | 40 |
| 8 | Percentage of Adults Overweight or Obese | 62.4\% | 31 |
| 9 | Infant Mortality Rate | 8.5 | 44 |
| 10 | Per Capita State and Local Taxes | \$3,591 | 18 |
| 11 | State and Local Taxes as a Percentage of Personal Income | 10.1\% | 17 |
| 12 | Per Capita State Taxes | \$2,185 | 18 |
| 13 | Per Capita Federal Grants | \$2,232 | 30 |
| 14 | Per Capita State Expenditures | \$5,051 | 15 |
| 15 | Per Capita State General Fund Expenditures | \$2,095 | 29 |
| 16 | State General Fund Expenditures as a Percentage of Personal Income | 6.0\% | 33 |
| 17 | Per Capita Federal Expenditures | \$9,043 | 43 |
| 18 | Bond Ratings | AAA | 1 |
| 19 | Per Capita State and Local Debt | \$5,537 | 9 |
| 20 | Per Capita Medicaid Expenditures | \$1,099 | 30 |
| 21 | State Funding Per Pupil, K-12 | \$5,620 | 26 |
| 22 | Percentage with High School Education or Less | 64.9\% | 25 |
| 23 | Average Annual InState Tuition | \$5,196 | 7 |
| 24 | State Government FTE Employment | 1.6 | 21 |
| 25 | Percentage of Roads Maintained by the State | 75.6\% | 4 |

## Highlights

- North Carolina and six other states ranked $1^{\text {st }}$ in bond ratings for general obligation bonds in December 2010, receiving the highest rating from all three bond rating services.
- North Carolina ranked $4^{\text {th }}$ in the percentage of roads maintained by the state in 2008 at $75.6 \%$.
- North Carolina ranked $6^{\text {th }}$ in population growth, increasing by $18.5 \%$ between 2000 and 2010.
- North Carolina ranked $7^{\text {th }}$ in average annual in-state tuition and fees at public four-year colleges and universities in 2010-1 1 at $\$ 5,196$. The 50 -state average was $\$ 7,506$. Forty-three other states had higher tuition and fees.
- North Carolina ranked $9^{\text {th }}$ in per capita state and local debt in Fiscal Year 2007-08 at $\$ 5,537$. Forty-one other states had higher per capita state and local debt.
- North Carolina ranked $10^{\text {th }}$ in population in 2010 with 9,535,483 residents.
- North Carolina ranked $15^{\text {th }}$ in per capita state expenditures in Fiscal Year 200708 at $\$ 5,051$. Thirty-five other states had higher per capita state expenditures.
- North Carolina and three other states ranked $16^{\text {th }}$ in percentage employed in computer or math occupations in 2009 at 2.4\%.
- North Carolina and four other states ranked $17^{\text {th }}$ in state and local taxes as a percentage of personal income in Fiscal Year 2007-08 at 10.1\%. Twenty-nine other states had higher per capita state and local taxes.
- North Carolina ranked $18^{\text {th }}$ in per capita state and local taxes in Fiscal Year 2007-08 at \$3,591. Thirty-two other states had higher per capita state and local taxes.
- North Carolina ranked $18^{\text {th }}$ in per capita state taxes in Fiscal Year 2008-09 at $\$ 2,185$. Thirty-two other states had higher per capita state and local taxes.


## Change in Rank

To determine change in rank, the rank for each indicator in this compendium was compared to that indicator's rank in the last edition of this compendium, which was published in 2009.

For both compendiums, the value states aspire to was ranked first, when known. Otherwise, the highest value was ranked first.

North Carolina's rank on an indicator "improved" if its rank number decreased, and this change is indicated with a . For example, North Carolina's rank improved for population growth because North Carolina ranked $6^{\text {th }}$ on this indicator in this compendium and $9^{\text {th }}$ in the last compendium.

North Carolina's rank on an indicator "declined" if its rank number increased, and this change is indicated with a . For example, North Carolina's rank declined for median household income because North Carolina ranked $39^{\text {th }}$ on this indicator in this compendium and $37^{\text {th }}$ in the last compendium.

North Carolina's rank on an indicator "stayed the same" if its rank number did not change, and this lack of change is indicated with a =. For example, North Carolina's rank stayed the same for population because North Carolina ranked $10^{\text {th }}$ on this indicator in this compendium and the last compendium.

The table below tallies how many times North Carolina's rank improved, declined, or stayed the same on the 25 indicators included in this compendium.

|  |  | Tally |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| - | Rank improved | 8 |
| $\boldsymbol{R}$ | Rank declined | 8 |
| $=$ | Rank stayed the same | 9 |


| Table |  | Direction of Change | Number of Positions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Population | = | 0 |
| 2 | Percent Change in Population | - | 3 |
| 3 | Median Household Income | $\square$ | 2 |
| 4 | Unemployment Rate | $\square$ | 6 |
| 5 | Percentage Employed in Computer or Math Occupations | - | 4 |
| 6 | Percentage of Population Living in Poverty | = | 0 |
| 7 | Percentage of Population Without Health Insurance | $\nabla$ | 3 |
| 8 | Percentage of Adults Overweight or Obese | = | 0 |
| 9 | Infant Mortality Rate | = | 0 |
| 10 | Per Capita State and Local Taxes | = | 0 |
| 11 | State and Local Taxes as a Percentage of Personal Income | - | 4 |
| 12 | Per Capita State Taxes | - | 7 |
| 13 | Per Capita Federal Grants | $\nabla$ | 3 |
| 14 | Per Capita State Expenditures |  | 3 |
| 15 | Per Capita State General Fund Expenditures | $\nabla$ | 1 |
| 16 | State General Fund Expenditures as a Percentage of Personal Income | = | 0 |
| 17 | Per Capita Federal Expenditures | $\nabla$ | 4 |
| 18 | Bond Ratings | = | 0 |
| 19 | Per Capita State and Local Debt | - | 2 |
| 20 | Per Capita Medicaid Expenditures | $\hat{1}$ | 2 |
| 21 | State Funding Per Pupil, $\mathrm{K}-12$ | $\square$ | 3 |
| 22 | Percentage with High School Education or Less | - | 2 |
| 23 | Average Annual In-State Tuition | $\square$ | 1 |
| 24 | State Government FTE Employment | = | 0 |
| 25 | Percentage of Roads Maintained by the State | = | 0 |

## 1. Population

| Rank |  | Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | California | 37,253,956 |
| 2 | Texas | 25,145,561 |
| 3 | New York | 19,378,102 |
| 4 | Florida | 18,801,310 |
| 5 | Illinois | 12,830,632 |
| 6 | Pennsylvania | 12,702,379 |
| 7 | Ohio | 11,536,504 |
| 8 | Michigan | 9,883,640 |
| 9 | Georgia | 9,687,653 |
| 10 | North Carolina | 9,535,483 |
| 11 | New Jersey | 8,791,894 |
| 12 | Virginia | 8,001,024 |
| 13 | Washington | 6,724,540 |
| 14 | Massachusetts | 6,547,629 |
| 15 | Indiana | 6,483,802 |
| 16 | Arizona | 6,392,017 |
| 17 | Tennessee | 6,346,105 |
|  | 50-State Average | 6,162,876 |
| 18 | Missouri | 5,988,927 |
| 19 | Maryland | 5,773,552 |
| 20 | Wisconsin | 5,686,986 |
| 21 | Minnesota | 5,303,925 |
| 22 | Colorado | 5,029,196 |
| 23 | Alabama | 4,779,736 |
| 24 | South Carolina | 4,625,364 |
| 25 | Lovisiana | 4,533,372 |
| 26 | Kentucky | 4,339,367 |
| 27 | Oregon | 3,831,074 |
| 28 | Oklahoma | 3,751,351 |
| 29 | Connecticut | 3,574,097 |
| 30 | lowa | 3,046,355 |
| 31 | Mississippi | 2,967,297 |
| 32 | Arkansas | 2,915,918 |
| 33 | Kansas | 2,853,118 |
| 34 | Utah | 2,763,885 |
| 35 | Nevada | 2,700,551 |
| 36 | New Mexico | 2,059,179 |
| 37 | West Virginia | 1,852,994 |
| 38 | Nebraska | 1,826,341 |
| 39 | Idaho | 1,567,582 |
| 40 | Hawaii | 1,360,301 |
| 41 | Maine | 1,328,361 |
| 42 | New Hampshire | 1,316,470 |
| 43 | Rhode Island | 1,052,567 |
| 44 | Montana | 989,415 |
| 45 | Delaware | 897,934 |
| 46 | South Dakota | 814,180 |
| 47 | Alaska | 710,231 |
| 48 | North Dakota | 672,591 |
| 49 | Vermont | 625,741 |
| 50 | Wyoming | 563,626 |



[^0]- This indicator was ranked from the highest value to the lowest value.
- North Carolina ranked $10^{\text {th }}$ in population in 2010 with 9,535,483 residents. The 50 state-average was 6,162,876. In 2008, North Carolina also ranked $10^{\text {th }}$ on this indicator.
- Among the 12 southeastern states, North Carolina and four other states (Florida, Georgia, Tennessee, and Virginia) were above the 50-state average.


## 2. Percent Change in Population

| Rank |  | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Nevada | 35.1 |
| 2 | Arizona | 24.6 |
| 3 | Utah | 23.8 |
| 4 | Idaho | 21.1 |
| 5 | Texas | 20.6 |
| 6 | North Carolina | 18.5 |
| 7 | Georgia | 18.3 |
| 8 | Florida | 17.6 |
| 9 | Colorado | 16.9 |
| 10 | South Carolina | 15.3 |
| 11 | Delaware | 14.6 |
| 12 | Washington | 14.1 |
| 12 | Wyoming | 14.1 |
| 14 | Alaska | 13.3 |
| 15 | New Mexico | 13.2 |
| 16 | Virginia | 13.0 |
| 17 | Hawaii | 12.3 |
| 18 | Oregon | 12.0 |
| 19 | Tennessee | 11.5 |
| 20 | California | 10.0 |
|  | 50-State Average | 9.9 |
| 21 | Montana | 9.7 |
| 22 | Arkansas | 9.1 |
| 23 | Maryland | 9.0 |
| 24 | Oklahoma | 8.7 |
| 25 | South Dakota | 7.9 |
| 26 | Minnesota | 7.8 |
| 27 | Alabama | 7.5 |
| 28 | Kentucky | 7.4 |
| 29 | Missouri | 7.0 |
| 30 | Nebraska | 6.7 |
| 31 | Indiana | 6.6 |
| 32 | New Hampshire | 6.5 |
| 33 | Kansas | 6.1 |
| 34 | Wisconsin | 6.0 |
| 35 | Connecticut | 4.9 |
| 36 | North Dakota | 4.7 |
| 37 | New Jersey | 4.5 |
| 38 | Mississippi | 4.3 |
| 39 | Maine | 4.2 |
| 40 | lowa | 4.1 |
| 41 | Pennsylvania | 3.4 |
| 42 | Illinois | 3.3 |
| 43 | Massachusetts | 3.1 |
| 44 | Vermont | 2.8 |
| 45 | West Virginia | 2.5 |
| 46 | New York | 2.1 |
| 47 | Ohio | 1.6 |
| 48 | Louisiana | 1.4 |
| 49 | Rhode Island | 0.4 |
| 50 | Michigan | -0.6 |

- States aspire to have population growth.
- North Carolina ranked $6^{\text {th }}$ in population growth, increasing by $18.5 \%$ between 2000 and 2010 . The 50 -state average was $9.9 \%$. In 2008, North Carolina ranked $9^{\text {th }}$ on this indicator.
- North Carolina's population increased from 8,049,313 residents in 2000 to $9,535,483$ in 2010 , an increase of nearly 1.5 million people.
- Based on population estimates, the number of foreign-born residents in North Carolina increased by $54.7 \%$ between 2000 and 2009. The 50-state average was $36 \%$.
- Based on population estimates, the number of North Carolinians over the age of 65 increased by $23 \%$ between 2000 and 2009. The 50-state average was 15\%.

Sources: U.S. Census 2000, 2010; American Community Survey, 1-Year Estimates, U.S. Census Bureau (2009); U.S. Census Bureau data on population estimates (2009).

## 3. Median Household Income

| Rank |  | Income in \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Maryland | 69,272 |
| 2 | New Jersey | 68,342 |
| 3 | Connecticut | 67,034 |
| 4 | Alaska | 66,953 |
| 5 | Hawaii | 64,098 |
| 6 | Massachusetts | 64,081 |
| 7 | New Hampshire | 60,567 |
| 8 | Virginia | 59,330 |
| 9 | California | 58,931 |
| 10 | Delaware | 56,860 |
| 11 | Washington | 56,548 |
| 12 | Minnesota | 55,616 |
| 13 | Colorado | 55,430 |
| 14 | Utah | 55,117 |
| 15 | New York | 54,659 |
| 16 | Rhode Island | 54,119 |
| 17 | Illinois | 53,966 |
| 18 | Nevada | 53,341 |
| 19 | Wyoming | 52,664 |
| 20 | Vermont | 51,618 |
|  | 50-State Average | 50,274 |
| 21 | Wisconsin | 49,993 |
| 22 | Pennsylvania | 49,520 |
| 23 | Arizona | 48,745 |
| 24 | Oregon | 48,457 |
| 25 | Texas | 48,259 |
| 26 | lowa | 48,044 |
| 27 | North Dakota | 47,827 |
| 28 | Kansas | 47,817 |
| 29 | Georgia | 47,590 |
| 30 | Nebraska | 47,357 |
| 31 | Maine | 45,734 |
| 32 | Indiana | 45,424 |
| 33 | Ohio | 45,395 |
| 34 | Michigan | 45,255 |
| 35 | Missouri | 45,229 |
| 36 | South Dakota | 45,043 |
| 37 | Idaho | 44,926 |
| 38 | Florida | 44,736 |
| 39 | North Carolina | 43,674 |
| 40 | New Mexico | 43,028 |
| 41 | Louisiana | 42,492 |
| 42 | South Carolina | 42,442 |
| 43 | Montana | 42,322 |
| 44 | Tennessee | 41,725 |
| 45 | Oklahoma | 41,664 |
| 46 | Alabama | 40,489 |
| 47 | Kentucky | 40,072 |
| 48 | Arkansas | 37,823 |
| 49 | West Virginia | 37,435 |
| 50 | Mississippi | 36,646 |



[^1]- States aspire to a high median household income.
- North Carolina ranked $39^{\text {th }}$ in median household income in 2009 at $\$ 43,674$. The 50 -state average was $\$ 50,274$. In 2007, North Carolina ranked $37^{\text {th }}$ on this indicator.
- North Carolina's median household income decreased by 2.2\% (\$996) from 2007 to 2009. Twenty-two other states' median household income also decreased.
- Among the 12 southeastern states, all but Virginia ranked below the 50 -state average.
- Median household income is the middle income of all households-half of the households earn more and half earn less.

Source: American Community Survey, 1-Year Estimates, U.S. Census Bureau (2007, 2009).

| 4. Unemployment Rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank |  | Rate |
| 1 | North Dakota | 4.3 |
| 2 | Nebraska | 4.6 |
| 3 | South Dakota | 4.8 |
| 4 | lowa | 6.0 |
| 5 | Montana | 6.2 |
| 6 | New Hampshire | 6.3 |
| 7 | Oklahoma | 6.4 |
| 7 | Wyoming | 6.4 |
| 9 | Utah | 6.6 |
| 10 | Kansas | 6.7 |
| 10 | Virginia | 6.7 |
| 12 | Hawaii | 6.8 |
| 12 | Louisiana | 6.8 |
| 14 | Vermont | 6.9 |
| 15 | Maryland | 7.0 |
| 16 | New Mexico | 7.2 |
| 17 | Arkansas | 7.3 |
| 18 | Texas | 7.6 |
| 19 | Colorado | 7.7 |
| 20 | West Virginia | 7.9 |
| 21 | Alaska | 8.0 |
| 21 | Idaho | 8.0 |
| 21 | Maine | 8.0 |
| 21 | Minnesota | 8.0 |
| 25 | Delaware | 8.1 |
| 25 | Pennsylvania | 8.1 |
| 27 | Connecticut | 8.2 |
| 28 | Massachusetts | 8.4 |
| 28 | New York | 8.4 |
|  | 50-State Average | 8.4 |
| 30 | Wisconsin | 8.5 |
| 31 | Washington | 8.9 |
| 32 | Arizona | 9.1 |
| 33 | New Jersey | 9.2 |
| 34 | Missouri | 9.3 |
| 35 | Georgia | 9.6 |
| 35 | Mississippi | 9.6 |
| 37 | Alabama | 10.1 |
| 37 | Illinois | 10.1 |
| 37 | Indiana | 10.1 |
| 40 | Ohio | 10.2 |
| 41 | Florida | 10.5 |
| 41 | Kentucky | 10.5 |
| 41 | Tennessee | 10.5 |
| 44 | North Carolina | 10.6 |
| 45 | Oregon | 11.1 |
| 46 | Rhode Island | 11.2 |
| 47 | California | 11.4 |
| 48 | South Carolina | 11.7 |
| 49 | Nevada | 11.8 |
| 50 | Michigan | 13.6 |

- States aspire to a low rate of unemployment.
- North Carolina ranked $44^{\text {th }}$ in annual unemployment rate in 2009 at 10.6\%. The 50 -state average was $8.4 \%$. In 2008, North Carolina ranked $38^{\text {th }}$ on this indicator.
- In February 2010, North Carolina recorded the highest unemployment rate ( $11.2 \%$ ) since the state started collecting the information in 1976. By November 2010, the unemployment rate was down to $9.7 \%$.
- Since 2003, North Carolina's unemployment rate has consistently ranked among the highest in the nation, with neighbors South Carolina and Tennessee experiencing similarly high rates.
- The unemployment rate is the number of people unemployed and actively looking for work divided by the labor force.


## 5. Percentage Employed in Computer or Math Occupations

| Rank |  | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Virginia | 5.0 |
| 2 | Washington | 4.0 |
| 3 | Colorado | 3.9 |
| 3 | Maryland | 3.9 |
| 3 | Massachusetts | 3.9 |
| 6 | New Jersey | 3.5 |
| 7 | Minnesota | 3.1 |
| 7 | New Hampshire | 3.1 |
| 9 | California | 2.9 |
| 9 | Delaware | 2.9 |
| 11 | Connecticut | 2.7 |
| 11 | Utah | 2.7 |
| 13 | Texas | 2.6 |
| 14 | Illinois | 2.5 |
| 14 | Missouri | 2.5 |
| 16 | Georgia | 2.4 |
| 16 | New York | 2.4 |
| 16 | North Carolina | 2.4 |
| 16 | Ohio | 2.4 |
| 20 | Arizona | 2.3 |
| 20 | Kansas | 2.3 |
| 20 | Oregon | 2.3 |
| 23 | Nebraska | 2.2 |
| 23 | Pennsylvania | 2.2 |
| 23 | Rhode Island | 2.2 |
|  | 50-State Average | 2.2 |
| 26 | Florida | 2.1 |
| 26 | Idaho | 2.1 |
| 26 | Michigan | 2.1 |
| 29 | Vermont | 2.0 |
| 29 | Wisconsin | 2.0 |
| 31 | Alabama | 1.8 |
| 31 | Arkansas | 1.8 |
| 31 | lowa | 1.8 |
| 34 | New Mexico | 1.7 |
| 35 | Indiana | 1.6 |
| 35 | Kentucky | 1.6 |
| 35 | Oklahoma | 1.6 |
| 38 | Alaska | 1.5 |
| 38 | Maine | 1.5 |
| 38 | North Dakota | 1.5 |
| 38 | South Carolina | 1.5 |
| 38 | South Dakota | 1.5 |
| 38 | Tennessee | 1.5 |
| 44 | Hawaii | 1.4 |
| 45 | Montana | 1.3 |
| 46 | Nevada | 1.2 |
| 47 | West Virginia | 1.1 |
| 48 | Louisiana | 0.9 |
| 48 | Mississippi | 0.9 |
| 50 | Wyoming | 0.8 |



- States aspire to high employment in computer and math occupations; these positions typically offer high salaries.
- North Carolina ranked $16^{\text {th }}$ in percentage employed in computer or math occupations in 2009 at $2.4 \%$. The 50 -state average was $2.2 \%$. In 2008, North Carolina ranked $20^{\text {th }}$ on this indicator.
- In North Carolina, the 2008 average annual salary for computer and math occupations was $\$ 74,440$, whereas the average annual salary for all occupations was $\$ 39,420$.
- Among the 12 southeastern states, only Virginia and Georgia had a higher percentage employed in computer or math occupations than North Carolina.
- Percentage employed in computer or math occupations measures the number of people employed in computer or math occupations in comparison to the total number of people employed in the state.

Source: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (2009).

## 6. Percentage of Population Living in Poverty

| Rank |  | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | New Hampshire | 8.5 |
| 2 | Alaska | 9.0 |
| 3 | Maryland | 9.1 |
| 4 | Connecticut | 9.4 |
| 4 | New Jersey | 9.4 |
| 6 | Wyoming | 9.8 |
| 7 | Massachusetts | 10.3 |
| 8 | Hawaii | 10.4 |
| 9 | Virginia | 10.5 |
| 10 | Delaware | 10.8 |
| 11 | Minnesota | 11.0 |
| 12 | Vermont | 11.4 |
| 13 | Rhode Island | 11.5 |
| 13 | Utah | 11.5 |
| 15 | North Dakota | 11.7 |
| 16 | lowa | 11.8 |
| 17 | Maine | 12.3 |
| 17 | Nebraska | 12.3 |
| 17 | Washington | 12.3 |
| 20 | Nevada | 12.4 |
| 20 | Wisconsin | 12.4 |
| 22 | Pennsylvania | 12.5 |
| 23 | Colorado | 12.9 |
| 24 | Illinois | 13.3 |
| 25 | Kansas | 13.4 |
|  | 50-State Average | 13.8 |
| 26 | California | 14.2 |
| 26 | New York | 14.2 |
| 26 | South Dakota | 14.2 |
| 29 | Idaho | 14.3 |
| 29 | Oregon | 14.3 |
| 31 | Indiana | 14.4 |
| 32 | Missouri | 14.6 |
| 33 | Florida | 14.9 |
| 34 | Montana | 15.1 |
| 35 | Ohio | 15.2 |
| 36 | Michigan | 16.2 |
| 36 | Oklahoma | 16.2 |
| 38 | North Carolina | 16.3 |
| 39 | Arizona | 16.5 |
| 39 | Georgia | 16.5 |
| 41 | South Carolina | 17.1 |
| 41 | Tennessee | 17.1 |
| 43 | Texas | 17.2 |
| 44 | Louisiana | 17.3 |
| 45 | Alabama | 17.5 |
| 46 | West Virginia | 17.7 |
| 47 | New Mexico | 18.0 |
| 48 | Kentucky | 18.6 |
| 49 | Arkansas | 18.8 |
| 50 | Mississippi | 21.9 |



- States aspire to a low percentage of population living in poverty.
- North Carolina ranked $38^{\text {th }}$ in percentage of population in poverty in 2009 at $16.3 \%$. The 50 -state average was $13.8 \%$. In 2007, North Carolina also ranked $38^{\text {th }}$ on this indicator.
- The number of North Carolinians living in poverty in 2009 was 1,478,214.
- The U.S. Census Bureau uses thresholds to estimate the number of Americans living in poverty. These thresholds are updated annually; the 2009 poverty threshold was \$21,756 for a family of four with two children. The poverty thresholds used by the U.S. Census Bureau are different from the poverty guidelines used by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services to determine financial eligibility for certain programs such as Medicaid.
- The percentage of North Carolinians living below 200\% of the federal poverty threshold in 2009 ( $\$ 43,512$ for a family of four with two children) was $36.5 \%$. The 50 -state average was $32 \%$.
- In 2009, the number of children (under age 18) in North Carolina living in poverty was 504,937 , or $22.5 \%$ of the youth population. The 50 state-average was 18.8\%.

Source: American Community Survey, 1-Year Estimates, U.S. Census Bureau (2009); U.S. Census Bureau (2009).

## 7. Percentage of Population Under Age 65 Without Health Insurance

| Rank |  | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Massachusetts | 5.2 |
| 2 | Hawaii | 9.4 |
| 3 | Minnesota | 10.0 |
| 4 | Wisconsin | 10.9 |
| 5 | Vermont | 11.2 |
| 6 | New Hampshire | 11.9 |
| 7 | Maine | 12.0 |
| 8 | North Dakota | 12.3 |
| 9 | lowa | 12.9 |
| 10 | Nebraska | 13.2 |
| 10 | Pennsylvania | 13.2 |
| 12 | Connecticut | 13.7 |
| 13 | Rhode Island | 13.9 |
| 14 | Washington | 14.5 |
| 15 | Virginia | 14.7 |
| 16 | Kansas | 15.1 |
| 17 | Michigan | 15.5 |
| 18 | Delaware | 15.6 |
| 18 | Maryland | 15.6 |
| 20 | South Dakota | 15.7 |
| 21 | Utah | 16.1 |
| 22 | Indiana | 16.3 |
| 23 | Ohio | 16.4 |
| 24 | West Virginia | 16.5 |
| 25 | Illinois | 16.6 |
| 26 | New York | 16.7 |
|  | 50-State Average | 16.9 |
| 27 | Colorado | 17.1 |
| 27 | Idaho | 17.1 |
| 29 | Missouri | 17.6 |
| 30 | New Jersey | 17.7 |
| 31 | Tennessee | 17.8 |
| 32 | Wyoming | 18.0 |
| 33 | Louisiana | 18.1 |
| 33 | Montana | 18.1 |
| 35 | Kentucky | 18.4 |
| 36 | Alaska | 19.0 |
| 37 | Alabama | 19.7 |
| 37 | South Carolina | 19.7 |
| 39 | Mississippi | 20.1 |
| 40 | North Carolina | 20.4 |
| 40 | Oregon | 20.4 |
| 42 | Oklahoma | 20.9 |
| 43 | Arizona | 21.6 |
| 44 | Arkansas | 22.1 |
| 44 | California | 22.1 |
| 46 | Georgia | 22.4 |
| 47 | Nevada | 23.1 |
| 48 | New Mexico | 24.6 |
| 49 | Florida | 26.6 |
| 50 | Texas | 28.5 |



- States aspire to a low percentage of population without health insurance.
- North Carolina ranked $40^{\text {th }}$ in percentage of population under age 65 without health insurance in 2009 at $20.4 \%$. The 50 -state average was 16.9\%. In 2007, North Carolina ranked $37^{\text {th }}$ on this indicator.
- The number of North Carolinians under age 65 without health insurance in 2009 was approximately 1.7 million people.
- The number of North Carolinians under age 65 without health insurance increased by $11.7 \%$ between 2007 and 2009, an increase of 174,000 persons.
- Among the 12 southeastern states, only Virginia and West Virginia had a lower percentage of people under age 65 without health insurance than the 50state average.
- Health insurance coverage is defined broadly and may be provided through private or government-sponsored sources. Government sources for those under age 65 include Medicaid, the State Children's Health Insurance Program, state-specific plans, and the Indian Health Service.

Source: Current Population Survey, U.S. Census Bureau (2007, 2009).

## 8. Percentage of Adults Overweight or Obese

| Rank |  | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Colorado | 53.3 |
| 2 | Massachusetts | 54.4 |
| 3 | Utah | 55.6 |
| 4 | Connecticut | 56.0 |
| 5 | Vermont | 56.5 |
| 6 | Hawaii | 56.8 |
| 7 | New York | 57.4 |
| 8 | Virginia | 57.6 |
| 9 | California | 57.7 |
| 10 | New Jersey | 57.8 |
| 11 | Oregon | 58.2 |
| 12 | Idaho | 59.1 |
| 13 | Washington | 59.4 |
| 14 | Florida | 59.5 |
| 15 | Rhode Island | 59.6 |
| 16 | New Mexico | 59.8 |
| 16 | Wyoming | 59.8 |
| 18 | Maryland | 60.1 |
| 19 | Montana | 60.2 |
| 19 | New Hampshire | 60.2 |
| 21 | Nevada | 60.7 |
| 22 | Alaska | 61.0 |
|  | 50-State Average | 61.1 |
| 23 | Arizona | 61.3 |
| 24 | Pennsylvania | 61.4 |
| 25 | Wisconsin | 61.5 |
| 26 | Delaware | 61.6 |
| 27 | Maine | 61.9 |
| 28 | Georgia | 62.1 |
| 28 | Minnesota | 62.1 |
| 30 | Kansas | 62.3 |
| 31 | Indiana | 62.4 |
| 31 | North Carolina | 62.4 |
| 33 | South Carolina | 62.8 |
| 34 | Michigan | 63.0 |
| 34 | Texas | 63.0 |
| 36 | Nebraska | 63.1 |
| 37 | Illinois | 63.2 |
| 38 | North Dakota | 63.4 |
| 39 | Arkansas | 63.5 |
| 40 | lowa | 63.6 |
| 41 | Missouri | 63.8 |
| 42 | Kentucky | 64.0 |
| 43 | Ohio | 64.2 |
| 44 | South Dakota | 64.4 |
| 45 | Louisiana | 64.9 |
| 46 | West Virginia | 65.0 |
| 47 | Oklahoma | 65.3 |
| 48 | Alabama | 65.9 |
| 48 | Tennessee | 65.9 |
| 50 | Mississippi | 67.8 |

Percentage of Adults Overweight or Obese


- States aspire to a low percentage of people who are overweight, obese, or diagnosed with diseases related to obesity.
- North Carolina ranked $31^{\text {st }}$ in percentage of adults classified as overweight or obese in 2009 at $62.4 \%$. The 50 -state average was $61.1 \%$. In 2007, North Carolina also ranked 31 st on this indicator.
- North Carolina ranked 39th in percentage of children (age 10 to 17) classified as overweight or obese in 2007 at $33.5 \%$. The 50 -state average was $30.9 \%$.
- North Carolina ranked $42^{\text {nd }}$ in percentage of adults diagnosed with diabetes in 2005 at $8.4 \%$. The 50 -state average was $7.2 \%$.
- Overweight and obese are labels for ranges of weight that are greater than what is generally considered healthy for a given height. The terms also identify ranges of weight that have been shown to increase the likelihood of certain diseases and other health problems.

Source: Kaiser Family Foundation data on adult overweight/obesity rate (2009), overweight/ obese children (2007), and diabetes rate per 100 by age (2005).

## 9. Infant Mortality Rate

| Rank |  | Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Massachusetts | 4.9 |
| 2 | Minnesota | 5.0 |
| 2 | Utah | 5.0 |
| 4 | Washington | 5.1 |
| 5 | California | 5.2 |
| 5 | lowa | 5.2 |
| 7 | New Jersey | 5.4 |
| 8 | New Hampshire | 5.6 |
| 8 | Oregon | 5.6 |
| 8 | Vermont | 5.6 |
| 11 | Connecticut | 5.8 |
| 12 | Nebraska | 5.9 |
| 12 | New York | 5.9 |
| 12 | North Dakota | 5.9 |
| 15 | Montana | 6.0 |
| 15 | Rhode Island | 6.0 |
| 17 | Colorado | 6.1 |
| 17 | Hawaii | 6.1 |
| 17 | New Mexico | 6.1 |
| 20 | Nevada | 6.2 |
| 21 | Idaho | 6.3 |
| 21 | Maine | 6.3 |
| 21 | Texas | 6.3 |
| 21 | Wisconsin | 6.3 |
| 25 | Alaska | 6.5 |
| 26 | Arizona | 6.6 |
|  | 50-State Average | 6.9 |
| 27 | Kentucky | 7.0 |
| 28 | Florida | 7.2 |
| 29 | Kansas | 7.3 |
| 29 | South Dakota | 7.3 |
| 29 | Virginia | 7.3 |
| 32 | Illinois | 7.4 |
| 32 | Pennsylvania | 7.4 |
| 32 | Wyoming | 7.4 |
| 35 | Missouri | 7.5 |
| 36 | Michigan | 7.6 |
| 36 | West Virginia | 7.6 |
| 38 | Ohio | 7.8 |
| 39 | Indiana | 7.9 |
| 39 | Maryland | 7.9 |
| 41 | Oklahoma | 8.0 |
| 42 | Arkansas | 8.2 |
| 42 | Georgia | 8.2 |
| 44 | North Carolina | 8.5 |
| 45 | Delaware | 8.6 |
| 46 | Tennessee | 8.7 |
| 47 | South Carolina | 9.0 |
| 48 | Alabama | 9.1 |
| 49 | Louisiana | 10.0 |
| 50 | Mississippi | 10.6 |



- States aspire to a low infant mortality rate and a low rate of low birthweight babies.
- North Carolina ranked $44^{\text {th }}$ in infant mortality rate at 8.5 infant deaths per 1,000 live births in 2006. The 50 -state average was 6.9. In 2005, North Carolina also ranked $44^{\text {th }}$ on this indicator.
- North Carolina's infant mortality rate for minorities was 14.1 deaths per 1,000 live births in 2009-more than twice the rate for white infants (5.4).
- During the past 10 years, the infant mortality rate in North Carolina has decreased from 9.1 deaths per 1,000 live births in 1999 to 7.9 deaths in 2009. The state's infant mortality rate has decreased more than $35 \%$ since 1988 when North Carolina had the highest infant mortality rate in the nation.
- Low birthweight (i.e., babies born weighing less than 2,500 grams, or about 5 pounds, 5 ounces) is a significant risk factor for infant mortality. In 2008, North Carolina ranked $40^{\text {th }}$ in low birthweight babies at $9.1 \%$. The 50state average was $8.1 \%$.

Sources: Kaiser Family Foundation data on infant death rate (2006) and births of low birthweight (2008); N.C. State Center for Health Statistics data on infant mortality statistics (1999, 2009).

## 10. Per Capita State and Local Taxes

| Rank |  | Taxes in \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | South Carolina | 2,923 |
| 2 | Alabama | 3,002 |
| 3 | Tennessee | 3,045 |
| 4 | South Dakota | 3,107 |
| 5 | Mississippi | 3,133 |
| 6 | Idaho | 3,234 |
| 7 | Arkansas | 3,280 |
| 8 | Kentucky | 3,302 |
| 9 | Oregon | 3,313 |
| 10 | Missouri | 3,336 |
| 11 | Oklahoma | 3,379 |
| 12 | Utah | 3,436 |
| 13 | Georgia | 3,468 |
| 14 | Arizona | 3,538 |
| 15 | West Virginia | 3,542 |
| 16 | Texas | 3,554 |
| 17 | Montana | 3,562 |
| 18 | North Carolina | 3,591 |
| 19 | Indiana | 3,593 |
| 20 | New Hampshire | 3,754 |
| 21 | Michigan | 3,764 |
| 22 | lowa | 3,855 |
| 23 | New Mexico | 3,899 |
| 24 | Colorado | 3,979 |
| 25 | Florida | 3,981 |
| 26 | Louisiana | 4,032 |
| 27 | Nevada | 4,048 |
| 27 | Ohio | 4,048 |
| 29 | Virginia | 4,196 |
| 30 | Nebraska | 4,213 |
| 31 | Delaware | 4,237 |
| 32 | Kansas | 4,246 |
| 33 | Pennsylvania | 4,306 |
| 34 | Wisconsin | 4,331 |
| 35 | Washington | 4,354 |
|  | 50-State Average | 4,360 |
| 36 | Maine | 4,496 |
| 37 | Illinois | 4,503 |
| 38 | Rhode Island | 4,626 |
| 39 | Minnesota | 4,727 |
| 39 | Vermont | 4,727 |
| 41 | Maryland | 4,887 |
| 42 | North Dakota | 4,948 |
| 43 | California | 5,085 |
| 44 | Massachusetts | 5,196 |
| 45 | Hawaii | 5,233 |
| 46 | New Jersey | 6,209 |
| 47 | Connecticut | 6,599 |
| 48 | Wyoming | 6,930 |
| 49 | New York | 7,103 |
| 50 | Alaska | 14,147 |



- States aspire to have low per capita state and local taxes.
- North Carolina ranked $18^{\text {th }}$ in per capita state and local taxes in Fiscal Year 2007-08 at $\$ 3,591$. The 50 stateaverage was $\$ 4,360$. In Fiscal Year 2005-06, North Carolina also ranked $18^{\text {th }}$ on this indicator.
- Among the 12 southeastern states, North Carolina had lower per capita state and local taxes than Florida, Louisiana, and Virginia in Fiscal Year 2007-08.
- In terms of local taxes, North Carolina ranked $12^{\text {th }}$ in per capita local taxes in Fiscal Year 2007-08 at \$1,128. The 50state average was $\$ 1,555$.
- Per capita taxes and taxes as a percentage of personal income are two commonly used measures that facilitate comparisons across states. They do not describe how taxes might differ, for example, by household income levels or for businesses versus individuals.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau data on state and local government finance (2007-08) and population estimates (2008).

## 11. State and Local Taxes as a Percentage of Personal Income

| Rank |  | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | South Dakota | 7.9 |
| 2 | New Hampshire | 8.6 |
| 3 | Tennessee | 8.7 |
| 4 | Alabama | 8.9 |
| 4 | South Carolina | 8.9 |
| 4 | Texas | 8.9 |
| 7 | Oregon | 9.0 |
| 8 | Colorado | 9.1 |
| 8 | Missouri | 9.1 |
| 10 | Oklahoma | 9.2 |
| 11 | Virginia | 9.4 |
| 12 | Georgia | 9.8 |
| 12 | Idaho | 9.8 |
| 14 | Florida | 9.9 |
| 15 | Arkansas | 10.0 |
| 15 | Washington | 10.0 |
| 17 | lowa | 10.1 |
| 17 | Maryland | 10.1 |
| 17 | Montana | 10.1 |
| 17 | Nevada | 10.1 |
| 17 | North Carolina | 10.1 |
| 22 | Kentucky | 10.2 |
| 22 | Massachusetts | 10.2 |
| 22 | Mississippi | 10.2 |
| 25 | Arizona | 10.3 |
| 25 | Indiana | 10.3 |
| 27 | Delaware | 10.4 |
| 27 | Illinois | 10.4 |
| 29 | Nebraska | 10.5 |
| 29 | Utah | 10.5 |
| 31 | Kansas | 10.6 |
| 31 | Louisiana | 10.6 |
| 33 | Michigan | 10.7 |
| 33 | Pennsylvania | 10.7 |
| 35 | Minnesota | 10.9 |
|  | 50-State Average | 11.0 |
| 36 | Rhode Island | 11.1 |
| 37 | Ohio | 11.2 |
| 37 | West Virginia | 11.2 |
| 39 | Wisconsin | 11.4 |
| 40 | California | 11.5 |
| 40 | Connecticut | 11.5 |
| 42 | New Mexico | 11.6 |
| 43 | North Dakota | 11.9 |
| 44 | New Jersey | 12.0 |
| 44 | Vermont | 12.0 |
| 46 | Hawaii | 12.3 |
| 46 | Maine | 12.3 |
| 48 | Wyoming | 13.7 |
| 49 | New York | 14.8 |
| 50 | Alaska | 31.9 |

State and Local Taxes as a Percentage of Income


- States aspire to have low state and local taxes as a percentage of personal income.
- North Carolina ranked $17^{\text {th }}$ in state and local taxes as a percentage of personal income in Fiscal Year 2007-08 at $10.1 \%$. The 50 -state average was $11 \%$. In Fiscal Year 2005-06, North Carolina ranked $21^{\text {st }}$ on this indicator.
- Among the 12 southeastern states, North Carolina had lower state and local taxes as a percentage of personal income than Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, and West Virginia in Fiscal Year 2007-08.
- Per capita taxes and taxes as a percentage of personal income are two commonly used measures that facilitate comparisons across states. They do not describe how taxes might differ, for example, by household income levels or for businesses versus individuals.

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau data on state and local government finance (2007-08); U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis data on personal income (2008).

## 12. Per Capita State Taxes

| Rank |  | Taxes in \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | South Carolina | 1,567 |
| 2 | New Hampshire | 1,605 |
| 3 | Georgia | 1,636 |
| 4 | South Dakota | 1,642 |
| 5 | Texas | 1,646 |
| 6 | Tennessee | 1,659 |
| 7 | Arizona | 1,706 |
| 8 | Florida | 1,724 |
| 9 | Colorado | 1,728 |
| 9 | Missouri | 1,728 |
| 11 | Alabama | 1,764 |
| 12 | Oregon | 1,939 |
| 13 | Utah | 1,947 |
| 14 | Idaho | 2,052 |
| 15 | Virginia | 2,055 |
| 16 | Ohio | 2,075 |
| 17 | Nevada | 2,105 |
| 18 | North Carolina | 2,185 |
| 19 | Mississippi | 2,207 |
| 20 | Oklahoma | 2,213 |
| 21 | Nebraska | 2,227 |
| 22 | Louisiana | 2,229 |
| 23 | Kentucky | 2,261 |
| 24 | Illinois | 2,267 |
| 25 | Indiana | 2,320 |
| 26 | Iowa | 2,322 |
| 27 | Michigan | 2,363 |
| 28 | Kansas | 2,375 |
| 29 | Pennsylvania | 2,386 |
| 30 | New Mexico | 2,414 |
| 31 | Rhode Island | 2,456 |
| 32 | Washington | 2,462 |
| 33 | Montana | 2,469 |
|  | 50-State Average | 2,532 |
| 34 | Wisconsin | 2,563 |
| 35 | Arkansas | 2,584 |
| 36 | West Virginia | 2,632 |
| 37 | Maine | 2,647 |
| 38 | Maryland | 2,654 |
| 39 | California | 2,733 |
| 40 | Massachusetts | 2,955 |
| 41 | New Jersey | 3,122 |
| 42 | Delaware | 3,170 |
| 43 | Minnesota | 3,259 |
| 44 | New York | 3,328 |
| 45 | Hawaii | 3,639 |
| 46 | Connecticut | 3,674 |
| 47 | North Dakota | 3,732 |
| 48 | Vermont | 4,030 |
| 49 | Wyoming | 5,078 |
| 50 | Alaska | 7,092 |



- States aspire to have low per capita state taxes.
- North Carolina ranked $18^{\text {th }}$ in per capita state taxes in Fiscal Year 2008-09 at $\$ 2,185$. The 50 -state average was \$2,532. In Fiscal Year 2007-08, North Carolina ranked $25^{\text {th }}$ on this indicator.
- Among the 12 southeastern states, North Carolina had lower per capita state taxes than Arkansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, and West Virginia in Fiscal Year 2008-09.
- Per capita taxes and taxes as a percentage of personal income are two commonly used measures that facilitate comparisons across states. They do not describe how taxes might differ, for example, by household income levels or for businesses versus individuals.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau data on state government tax collections and population estimates (2009).

## 13. Per Capita Federal Grants

| Rank |  | Grants in \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Alaska | 5,306 |
| 2 | Wyoming | 4,785 |
| 3 | North Dakota | 3,485 |
| 4 | Vermont | 3,478 |
| 5 | New Mexico | 3,460 |
| 6 | Rhode Island | 3,427 |
| 7 | Wisconsin | 3,399 |
| 8 | Lovisiana | 3,395 |
| 9 | Massachusetts | 3,394 |
| 10 | New York | 3,194 |
| 11 | Maine | 3,098 |
| 12 | South Dakota | 3,037 |
| 13 | Montana | 3,015 |
| 14 | Mississippi | 2,813 |
| 15 | Tennessee | 2,710 |
| 16 | West Virginia | 2,705 |
| 17 | Kentucky | 2,635 |
|  | 50-State Average | 2,550 |
| 18 | lowa | 2,519 |
| 19 | Hawaii | 2,516 |
| 20 | Connecticut | 2,510 |
| 21 | California | 2,460 |
| 22 | Illinois | 2,439 |
| 23 | Arkansas | 2,401 |
| 23 | Delaware | 2,401 |
| 25 | Oklahoma | 2,320 |
| 26 | Washington | 2,290 |
| 27 | Oregon | 2,275 |
| 28 | Missouri | 2,266 |
| 29 | Texas | 2,246 |
| 30 | North Carolina | 2,232 |
| 31 | Minnesota | 2,230 |
| 32 | Ohio | 2,202 |
| 33 | Arizona | 2,195 |
| 34 | Pennsylvania | 2,171 |
| 35 | Alabama | 2,125 |
| 36 | Michigan | 2,118 |
| 37 | Indiana | 2,078 |
| 38 | Maryland | 2,071 |
| 39 | Nebraska | 2,035 |
| 40 | South Carolina | 2,028 |
| 41 | Idaho | 2,005 |
| 42 | New Hampshire | 1,972 |
| 43 | Georgia | 1,952 |
| 44 | New Jersey | 1,928 |
| 45 | Kansas | 1,911 |
| 46 | Utah | 1,776 |
| 47 | Colorado | 1,762 |
| 48 | Florida | 1,725 |
| 49 | Virginia | 1,607 |
| 50 | Nevada | 1,422 |



- States aspire to have high per capita federal grants.
- North Carolina ranked $30^{\text {th }}$ in per capita federal grants in Fiscal Year 2008-09 at $\$ 2,232$. The 50 -state average was \$2,550. In Fiscal Year 2006-07, North Carolina ranked $27^{\text {th }}$ on this indicator.
- Among the 12 southeastern states, Alabama, Florida, Georgia, South Carolina, and Virginia had lower per capita federal grants than North Carolina in Fiscal Year 2008-09.
- Federal grants consist of formula grants such as Medicaid and the National Lunch Program, project grants for research or construction activities, and categorical or block grants.
- Per capita federal grants is a commonly used measure that allows easy comparisons across states, indicating the amount of federal grants states receive.

Sources: Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2009: State and County Areas, U.S. Census Bureau (2010, August); U.S. Census Bureau data on population estimates (2009).

## 14. Per Capita State Expenditures

| Rank |  | Expenditures in \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Texas | 4,079 |
| 2 | Nevada | 4,146 |
| 3 | Florida | 4,190 |
| 4 | Tennessee | 4,207 |
| 5 | Georgia | 4,245 |
| 6 | Missouri | 4,498 |
| 7 | South Dakota | 4,597 |
| 8 | Colorado | 4,621 |
| 9 | Arizona | 4,736 |
| 10 | Nebraska | 4,738 |
| 11 | Indiana | 4,819 |
| 12 | Illinois | 4,934 |
| 13 | New Hampshire | 4,994 |
| 14 | Idaho | 5,025 |
| 15 | North Carolina | 5,051 |
| 16 | Virginia | 5,101 |
| 17 | Utah | 5,241 |
| 18 | Alabama | 5,322 |
| 19 | Kansas | 5,351 |
| 20 | Oklahoma | 5,356 |
| 21 | Arkansas | 5,459 |
| 22 | lowa | 5,519 |
| 23 | Michigan | 5,685 |
| 24 | Pennsylvania | 5,701 |
| 25 | Wisconsin | 5,797 |
| 26 | West Virginia | 5,839 |
| 27 | Ohio | 5,880 |
| 28 | Oregon | 5,918 |
| 29 | Kentucky | 5,929 |
| 30 | Maryland | 6,014 |
| 31 | Washington | 6,045 |
|  | 50-State Average | 6,070 |
| 32 | South Carolina | 6,127 |
| 33 | Maine | 6,176 |
| 34 | Montana | 6,340 |
| 35 | Mississippi | 6,341 |
| 36 | North Dakota | 6,432 |
| 37 | Minnesota | 6,554 |
| 38 | Connecticut | 6,717 |
| 39 | California | 6,744 |
| 40 | New Jersey | 6,757 |
| 41 | Massachusetts | 6,974 |
| 42 | Rhode Island | 7,115 |
| 43 | Louisiana | 7,414 |
| 44 | New Mexico | 7,949 |
| 45 | New York | 8,085 |
| 46 | Delaware | 8,162 |
| 47 | Vermont | 8,164 |
| 48 | Hawaii | 8,182 |
| 49 | Wyoming | 9,534 |
| 50 | Alaska | 14,701 |



- States aspire to have low per capita state expenditures.
- North Carolina ranked $15^{\text {th }}$ in per capita state expenditures in Fiscal Year 200708 at $\$ 5,051$. The 50 -state average was \$6,070. In Fiscal Year 2006-07, North Carolina ranked $18^{\text {th }}$ on this indicator.
- Among the 12 southeastern states, North Carolina had higher per capita state expenditures than Florida, Georgia, and Tennessee in Fiscal Year 2007-08.
- Per capita state expenditures is a commonly used measure that allows easy comparisons across states, indicating how much is spent per person in a state. It does not describe how state expenditures might differ, for example, by the types of services covered.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau data on state government finance (2008) and population estimates (2008).

## 15. Per Capita State General Fund Expenditures

| Rank |  | Expenditures in \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Michigan | 853 |
| 2 | New Hampshire | 1,086 |
| 3 | South Carolina | 1,274 |
| 4 | Florida | 1,276 |
| 5 | Arizona | 1,401 |
| 6 | Missouri | 1,403 |
| 7 | South Dakota | 1,419 |
| 8 | Arkansas | 1,516 |
| 9 | Illinois | 1,536 |
| 10 | Colorado | 1,537 |
| 11 | Oregon | 1,539 |
| 12 | Alabama | 1,547 |
| 13 | Mississippi | 1,589 |
| 14 | Nevada | 1,590 |
| 15 | Georgia | 1,704 |
| 16 | Utah | 1,730 |
| 17 | Idaho | 1,762 |
| 18 | Texas | 1,784 |
| 19 | Montana | 1,798 |
| 20 | Oklahoma | 1,826 |
| 21 | Nebraska | 1,853 |
| 22 | Vermont | 1,864 |
| 23 | Tennessee | 1,893 |
| 24 | North Dakota | 1,917 |
| 25 | lowa | 1,963 |
| 26 | Indiana | 2,030 |
| 27 | Virginia | 2,038 |
| 28 | Kentucky | 2,093 |
| 29 | North Carolina | 2,095 |
| 30 | Louisiana | 2,102 |
| 31 | West Virginia | 2,144 |
| 32 | Pennsylvania | 2,149 |
| 33 | Kansas | 2,151 |
| 34 | Washington | 2,193 |
| 35 | Wisconsin | 2,254 |
| 36 | Maine | 2,291 |
| 37 | Ohio | 2,320 |
|  | 50-State Average | 2,363 |
| 38 | California | 2,460 |
| 39 | Maryland | 2,518 |
| 40 | New York | 2,794 |
| 41 | Rhode Island | 2,849 |
| 42 | New Mexico | 3,025 |
| 43 | Minnesota | 3,334 |
| 44 | New Jersey | 3,540 |
| 45 | Delaware | 3,724 |
| 46 | Hawaii | 4,150 |
| 47 | Massachusetts | 4,552 |
| 48 | Connecticut | 4,899 |
| 49 | Wyoming | 7,044 |
| 50 | Alaska | 7,741 |



- States aspire to have low per capita state General Fund expenditures.
- North Carolina ranked $29^{\text {th }}$ in per capita state General Fund expenditures in Fiscal Year 2008-09 at \$2,095. The 50state average was \$2,363. In Fiscal Year 2006-07, North Carolina ranked $28^{\text {th }}$ on this indicator.
- Among the 12 southeastern states, North Carolina had lower per capita state General Fund expenditures than Louisiana and West Virginia in Fiscal Year 2008-09.
- Per capita state General Fund expenditures and state General Fund expenditures as a percentage of personal income are two commonly used measures that facilitate comparisons across states. They do not describe how state General Fund expenditures might differ, for example, by the types of services covered.

Sources: Fiscal Year 2009 State Expenditure Report, National Association of State Budget Officers (2010, Fall); U.S. Census Bureau data on population estimates (2009).

## 16. State General Fund Expenditures as a Percentage of

 Personal Income| Rank |  | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Michigan | 2.5 |
| 1 | New Hampshire | 2.5 |
| 3 | Florida | 3.3 |
| 4 | Colorado | 3.7 |
| 4 | Illinois | 3.7 |
| 4 | South Dakota | 3.7 |
| 7 | Missouri | 3.9 |
| 7 | South Carolina | 3.9 |
| 9 | Arizona | 4.2 |
| 9 | Nevada | 4.2 |
| 11 | Oregon | 4.3 |
| 12 | Alabama | 4.6 |
| 12 | Texas | 4.6 |
| 12 | Virginia | 4.6 |
| 15 | Arkansas | 4.7 |
| 15 | Nebraska | 4.7 |
| 15 | North Dakota | 4.7 |
| 18 | Vermont | 4.8 |
| 19 | Georgia | 5.0 |
| 20 | Oklahoma | 5.1 |
| 20 | Washington | 5.1 |
| 22 | lowa | 5.2 |
| 22 | Maryland | 5.2 |
| 22 | Mississippi | 5.2 |
| 22 | Montana | 5.2 |
| 26 | Pennsylvania | 5.4 |
| 27 | Kansas | 5.5 |
| 27 | Tennessee | 5.5 |
| 27 | Utah | 5.5 |
| 30 | Idaho | 5.6 |
| 30 | Louisiana | 5.6 |
| 32 | California | 5.8 |
| 33 | Indiana | 6.0 |
| 33 | New York | 6.0 |
| 33 | North Carolina | 6.0 |
| 33 | Wisconsin | 6.0 |
|  | 50-State Average | 6.0 |
| 37 | Maine | 6.3 |
| 38 | Kentucky | 6.5 |
| 38 | Ohio | 6.5 |
| 40 | West Virginia | 6.7 |
| 41 | Rhode Island | 6.9 |
| 42 | New Jersey | 7.1 |
| 43 | Minnesota | 8.0 |
| 44 | Connecticut | 8.9 |
| 45 | New Mexico | 9.1 |
| 46 | Massachusetts | 9.2 |
| 47 | Delaware | 9.3 |
| 48 | Hawaii | 9.9 |
| 49 | Wyoming | 14.6 |
| 50 | Alaska | 17.9 |



- States aspire to have low state General Fund expenditures as a percentage of personal income.
- North Carolina ranked $33^{\text {rd }}$ in state General Fund expenditures as a percentage of personal income in Fiscal Year 2008-09 at 6\%. The 50-state average was also 6\%. In Fiscal Year 2006-07, North Carolina also ranked 33 rd on this indicator.
- Among the 12 southeastern states, North Carolina had lower state General Fund expenditures as a percentage of personal income than Kentucky and West Virginia in Fiscal Year 2008-09.
- Per capita state General Fund expenditures and state General Fund expenditures as a percentage of personal income are two commonly used measures that facilitate comparisons across states. They do not describe how state General Fund expenditures might differ, for example, by the types of services covered.

Sources: Fiscal Year 2009 State Expenditure Report, National Association of State Budget Officers (2009, Fall); U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis data on personal income (2009).

## 17. Per Capita Federal Expenditures

| Rank |  | Expenditures in \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Alaska | 20,352 |
| 2 | Virginia | 19,734 |
| 3 | Hawaii | 19,001 |
| 4 | Maryland | 16,169 |
| 5 | New Mexico | 13,670 |
| 6 | North Dakota | 13,323 |
| 7 | Massachusetts | 12,723 |
| 8 | Kansas | 12,312 |
| 9 | Connecticut | 12,105 |
| 10 | South Dakota | 11,693 |
| 11 | Alabama | 11,611 |
| 12 | Kentucky | 11,593 |
| 13 | Wyoming | 11,535 |
| 14 | Vermont | 11,406 |
| 15 | Missouri | 11,347 |
| 16 | Montana | 11,205 |
| 17 | Mississippi | 11,127 |
| 18 | Rhode Island | 10,935 |
|  | 50-State Average | 10,929 |
| 19 | Tennessee | 10,887 |
| 20 | West Virginia | 10,885 |
| 21 | Wisconsin | 10,837 |
| 22 | Maine | 10,803 |
| 23 | Louisiana | 10,765 |
| 23 | Pennsylvania | 10,765 |
| 25 | South Carolina | 10,283 |
| 26 | Oklahoma | 10,175 |
| 27 | Washington | 9,988 |
| 28 | New York | 9,978 |
| 29 | lowa | 9,764 |
| 30 | Idaho | 9,638 |
| 31 | Arizona | 9,556 |
| 32 | Indiana | 9,520 |
| 33 | Colorado | 9,514 |
| 34 | Florida | 9,477 |
| 35 | Arkansas | 9,449 |
| 36 | California | 9,360 |
| 37 | Ohio | 9,354 |
| 38 | New Jersey | 9,262 |
| 39 | Michigan | 9,228 |
| 40 | Nebraska | 9,198 |
| 41 | Delaware | 9,193 |
| 42 | Texas | 9,164 |
| 43 | North Carolina | 9,043 |
| 44 | Illinois | 8,990 |
| 45 | New Hampshire | 8,942 |
| 46 | Oregon | 8,781 |
| 47 | Minnesota | 8,676 |
| 48 | Georgia | 8,538 |
| 49 | Utah | 7,435 |
| 50 | Nevada | 7,148 |



- States aspire to have high per capita federal expenditures.
- North Carolina ranked $43^{\text {rd }}$ in per capita federal expenditures in Fiscal Year 2008-09 at \$9,043. The 50-state average was $\$ 10,929$. In Fiscal Year 2006-07, North Carolina ranked 39th on this indicator. Despite declining in rank, the amount of per capita federal expenditures in North Carolina increased by \$1,759 from Fiscal Year 2006-07.
- Among the 12 southeastern states, only Georgia had lower per capita federal expenditures than North Carolina in Fiscal Year 2008-09.
- Defense personnel is a major component of federal expenditures in North Carolina with 116,073 military personnel-10.7\% of all military personnel-stationed in the state in 2009.
- Federal expenditures consist of grants, retirement and disability payments, direct payments (such as the Federal Family Education Loan Program and Postal Services), procurement, and salaries and wages.

Sources: Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2009: State and County Areas, U.S. Census Bureau (2010, August); Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2007: State and County Areas, U.S. Census Bureau (2008, September); U.S. Census Bureau data on population estimates (2009, 2007); U.S. Department of Defense data on personnel by state (2009).

| 18. Bond Ratings |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank |  | Moody's | S\&P | Fitch |
| 1 | Delaware | Ada | AAA | AAA |
| 1 | Georgia | Aad | AAA | AAA |
| 1 | Maryland | Ada | AAA | AAA |
| 1 | Missouri | Ada | AAA | AAA |
| 1 | North Carolina | Aad | AAA | AAA |
| 1 | Utah | Ada | AAA | AAA |
| 1 | Virginia | Aad | AAA | AAA |
| 1 | Indiana | Aad | AAA | - |
| 1 | lowa | Aaa | AAA | - |
| 10 | Florida | Aal | AAA | AAA |
| 10 | Minnesota | Aal | AAA | AAA |
| 10 | South Carolina | Aad | AA+ | AAA |
| 10 | Tennessee | Aaa | AA+ | AAA |
| 10 | Texas | Aaa | AA+ | AAA |
| 10 | Vermont | Aad | AA+ | AAA |
| 16 | New Mexico | Aad | AA+ | - |
| 17 | Alaska | Aaa | AA+ | AA+ |
| 18 | Kansas | Aal | AA+ | - |
| 18 | Nevada | Aal | AA+ | AA+ |
| 18 | North Dakota | Aal | AA+ | - |
| 18 | Ohio | Aal | AA+ | AA+ |
| 18 | Washington | Aal | AA+ | AA+ |
| 18 | Wyoming | - | AA+ | - |
| 24 | Alabama | Aal | AA | AA+ |
| 24 | Hawaii | Aal | AA | AA+ |
| 24 | Massachusetts | Aal | AA | AA+ |
| 24 | Montana | Aal | AA | AA+ |
| 24 | New Hampshire | Aal | AA | AA+ |
| 24 | Oklahoma | Aa2 | AA+ | AA+ |
| 24 | Oregon | Aal | AA | AA+ |
| 24 | Pennsylvania | Aal | AA | AA+ |
| 32 | Arkansas | Aal | AA | - |
| 32 | Colorado | Aal | AA | - |
| 32 | Idaho | Aal | AA | - |
| 32 | Nebraska | Aa2 | AA+ | - |
| 36 | Maine | Aa2 | AA | AA+ |
| 36 | Mississippi | Aa2 | AA | AA+ |
| 36 | West Virginia | Aal | AA | AA |
| 39 | Connecticut | Aa2 | AA | AA |
| 39 | New Jersey | Aa2 | AA | AA |
| 39 | New York | Aa2 | AA | AA |
| 39 | Rhode Island | Aa2 | AA | AA |
| 39 | South Dakota | - | AA | - |
| 39 | Wisconsin | Aa2 | AA | AA |
| 45 | Kentucky | Aal | AA- | AA- |
| 45 | Louisiana | Aa2 | AA- | AA |
| 47 | Michigan | Aa2 | AA- | AA- |
| 48 | Arizona | Aa3 | AA- | - |
| 49 | Illinois | A1 | A+ | A |
| 50 | California | A1 | A- | A- |



- States aspire to have high bond ratings from the three rating services (Moody's Investor Services, Standard \& Poor's Corporation, and Fitch Ratings).
- North Carolina and six other states ranked $1^{\text {st }}$ in bond ratings for general obligation bonds in December 2010, receiving the highest rating from all three bond rating services. In April 2009, North Carolina and six other states also ranked ${ }^{\text {st }}$ on this indicator.
- States are ranked by the average value of their bond rating on a 10-point scale with a AAA rating equal to 10 points.
- State bond ratings affect the interest rates paid when state governments issue general obligation bonds. General obligation bonds are issued for funding permanent capital improvements such as buildings and roads. These bonds are repaid by levying taxes, and voter approval is required by the North Carolina Constitution.

Source: N.C. Department of the State Treasurer (2010, December).

## 19. Per Capita State and Local Debt

| Rank |  | Debt in \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Idaho | 3,751 |
| 2 | Wyoming | 4,402 |
| 3 | Arkansas | 4,512 |
| 4 | Mississippi | 4,535 |
| 5 | Oklahoma | 4,650 |
| 6 | Iowa | 5,163 |
| 7 | Georgia | 5,214 |
| 8 | West Virginia | 5,420 |
| 9 | North Carolina | 5,537 |
| 10 | North Dakota | 5,699 |
| 11 | Tennessee | 5,733 |
| 12 | Maine | 5,907 |
| 13 | Ohio | 5,956 |
| 14 | Alabama | 5,988 |
| 15 | Utah | 6,134 |
| 16 | South Dakota | 6,522 |
| 17 | New Mexico | 6,671 |
| 18 | Montana | 6,686 |
| 19 | Arizona | 6,706 |
| 20 | Maryland | 6,709 |
| 21 | Missouri | 6,904 |
| 22 | Vermont | 6,992 |
| 23 | Virginia | 7,017 |
| 24 | Louisiana | 7,163 |
| 25 | Indiana | 7,287 |
| 26 | Wisconsin | 7,484 |
| 27 | Kansas | 7,497 |
| 28 | Michigan | 7,523 |
|  | 50-State Average | 7,681 |
| 29 | Florida | 7,714 |
| 30 | Oregon | 7,776 |
| 31 | Nebraska | 7,864 |
| 32 | Minnesota | 7,963 |
| 32 | New Hampshire | 7,963 |
| 34 | Hawaii | 8,113 |
| 35 | South Carolina | 8,117 |
| 36 | Texas | 8,882 |
| 37 | Kentucky | 8,954 |
| 38 | Delaware | 9,065 |
| 39 | California | 9,325 |
| 40 | Pennsylvania | 9,439 |
| 41 | Nevada | 9,518 |
| 42 | Illinois | 9,668 |
| 43 | Washington | 9,830 |
| 44 | Colorado | 10,125 |
| 45 | New Jersey | 10,154 |
| 46 | Connecticut | 10,502 |
| 47 | Rhode Island | 10,817 |
| 48 | New York | 13,856 |
| 49 | Massachusetts | 14,186 |
| 50 | Alaska | 14,475 |



- States aspire to have low per capita state and local debt.
- North Carolina ranked $9^{\text {th }}$ in per capita state and local debt in Fiscal Year 2007-08 at $\$ 5,537$. The 50 -state average was $\$ 7,762$. In Fiscal Year 2005-06, North Carolina ranked $11^{\text {th }}$ on this indicator.
- In regards to state debt, North Carolina ranked $11^{\text {th }}$ in per capita state debt in Fiscal Year 2007-08 at \$2,120. The 50state average was $\$ 3,645$.
- Per capita state and local debt is a commonly used measure that allows easy comparisons across states. This measure does not describe how state and local debt might differ, for example, by the types of debt instruments available to state and local governments.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau data on state and local government finance (2007-08) and population estimates (2008).

## 20. Per Capita Medicaid Expenditures

| Rank |  | Expenditures in \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Nevada | 504 |
| 2 | Utah | 556 |
| 3 | Colorado | 642 |
| 4 | Virginia | 691 |
| 5 | Georgia | 757 |
| 6 | Idaho | 790 |
| 7 | Florida | 797 |
| 8 | Montana | 802 |
| 9 | Kansas | 813 |
| 10 | South Dakota | 815 |
| 11 | North Dakota | 833 |
| 12 | Oregon | 851 |
| 13 | Alabama | 872 |
| 14 | Texas | 883 |
| 15 | Wisconsin | 887 |
| 16 | Nebraska | 891 |
| 17 | Illinois | 903 |
| 18 | Wyoming | 924 |
| 19 | Hawaii | 937 |
| 20 | lowa | 950 |
| 21 | New Hampshire | 951 |
| 22 | Washington | 958 |
| 23 | Indiana | 963 |
| 24 | Oklahoma | 971 |
| 25 | Michigan | 984 |
| 26 | South Carolina | 985 |
| 27 | Maryland | 1,007 |
| 28 | California | 1,059 |
|  | 50-State Average | 1,082 |
| 29 | New Jersey | 1,088 |
| 30 | North Carolina | 1,099 |
| 31 | Kentucky | 1,121 |
| 32 | Ohio | 1,132 |
| 33 | Arkansas | 1,146 |
| 34 | Tennessee | 1,150 |
| 35 | Arizona | 1,155 |
| 36 | Missouri | 1,190 |
| 37 | West Virginia | 1,255 |
| 38 | Delaware | 1,258 |
| 39 | Alaska | 1,294 |
| 40 | Mississippi | 1,296 |
| 41 | Connecticut | 1,297 |
| 41 | Pennsylvania | 1,297 |
| 43 | Minnesota | 1,334 |
| 44 | Lovisiana | 1,363 |
| 45 | New Mexico | 1,533 |
| 46 | Vermont | 1,567 |
| 47 | Massachusetts | 1,654 |
| 48 | Maine | 1,707 |
| 49 | Rhode Island | 1,741 |
| 50 | New York | 2,446 |



- States aspire to have low per capita Medicaid expenditures and low Medicaid enrollment.
- North Carolina ranked $30^{\text {th }}$ in per capita Medicaid expenditures in federal Fiscal Year 2007-08 at \$1,099. The 50-state average was \$1,082. In federal Fiscal Year 2006-07, North Carolina ranked $32^{\text {nd }}$ on this indicator.
- North Carolina ranked $30^{\text {th }}$ in Medicaid enrollment as a percentage of population in federal Fiscal Year 200607 at $18.2 \%$. The 50 -state average was 17.8\%.
- Total Medicaid expenditures include state and federal expenditures for benefit payments and disproportionate share hospital payments. Administrative costs and accounting adjustments are not included.

Sources: Kaiser Family Foundation data on total Medicaid spending (2008) and total Medicaid enrollment (2007); U.S. Census Bureau data on population estimates $(2007,2008)$.

## 21. State Funding Per Pupil, K-12

| Rank |  | Funding in \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Vermont | 14,388 |
| 2 | Hawaif | 11,975 |
| 3 | Alaska | 10,866 |
| 4 | Wyoming | 9,841 |
| 5 | Delaware | 9,097 |
| 6 | New York | 8,813 |
| 7 | Minnesota | 8,048 |
| 8 | New Mexico | 7,727 |
| 9 | New Jersey | 7,618 |
| 10 | Arkansas | 7,324 |
| 11 | California | 6,978 |
| 12 | Washington | 6,732 |
| 13 | Kansas | 6,715 |
| 14 | Michigan | 6,660 |
| 15 | Massachusetts | 6,521 |
| 16 | Maryland | 6,503 |
| 17 | Connecticut | 6,386 |
| 18 | Alabama | 6,258 |
|  | 50-State Average | 6,138 |
| 19 | West Virginia | 6,116 |
| 20 | Wisconsin | 6,016 |
| 21 | Rhode Island | 5,817 |
| 22 | Nevada | 5,816 |
| 23 | Kentucky | 5,768 |
| 24 | Maine | 5,755 |
| 25 | Oregon | 5,672 |
| 26 | North Carolina | 5,620 |
| 27 | Ohio | 5,606 |
| 28 | South Carolina | 5,511 |
| 29 | Montana | 5,370 |
| 30 | Idaho | 5,301 |
| 31 | Pennsylvania | 5,232 |
| 32 | Indiana | 5,194 |
| 33 | New Hampshire | 5,153 |
| 34 | Georgia | 5,123 |
| 35 | Louisiana | 5,093 |
| 36 | lowa | 5,082 |
| 37 | Mississippi | 4,844 |
| 38 | Virginia | 4,840 |
| 39 | Oklahoma | 4,608 |
| 40 | Arizona | 4,516 |
| 41 | Florida | 4,472 |
| 42 | Texas | 4,349 |
| 43 | Colorado | 4,263 |
| 44 | Utah | 4,249 |
| 45 | Missouri | 4,231 |
| 46 | North Dakota | 4,037 |
| 47 | Illinois | 3,971 |
| 48 | Tennessee | 3,853 |
| 49 | Nebraska | 3,751 |
| 50 | South Dakota | 3,246 |



- States aspire to high state funding and spending per pupil in K-12 education.
- North Carolina ranked $26^{\text {th }}$ in state funding per pupil at $\$ 5,620$ in Fiscal Year 2007-08. The 50-state average was \$6,138. In Fiscal Year 2005-06, North Carolina ranked 23 rd on this indicator.
- North Carolina's per pupil funds were 59\% state, 32\% local, and 9\% federal. North Carolina ranked $44^{\text {th }}$ in total funding (all sources) per pupil at \$9,551 in Fiscal Year 2007-08. The 50-state average was $\$ 12,023$.
- In terms of spending, North Carolina ranked $44^{\text {th }}$ in total spending per pupil at $\$ 7,996$ in Fiscal Year 2007-08. The 50-state average was \$10,300.
- Funding is the amount of money from federal, state, and local sources that public schools have available to spend during the school year, whereas spending is the amount that public schools actually spend during the school year.

Source: Public Education Finances 2008, U.S. Census Bureau (2008).

## 22. Percentage of Adults with a High School Education or Less

| Rank |  | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Massachusetts | 54.0 |
| 2 | Colorado | 56.2 |
| 3 | Connecticut | 57.0 |
| 4 | Maryland | 58.2 |
| 5 | New Hampshire | 58.4 |
| 6 | Vermont | 58.6 |
| 7 | Minnesota | 58.8 |
| 8 | New Jersey | 59.3 |
| 8 | New York | 59.3 |
| 10 | Virginia | 59.4 |
| 11 | Washington | 59.7 |
| 12 | Hawaii | 60.5 |
| 13 | Rhode Island | 61.3 |
| 14 | North Dakota | 62.0 |
| 15 | Illinois | 62.1 |
| 16 | Utah | 62.4 |
| 17 | California | 62.5 |
| 18 | Oregon | 62.6 |
| 19 | Nebraska | 63.3 |
| 20 | Kansas | 63.4 |
| 21 | Delaware | 63.8 |
| 22 | Maine | 64.3 |
| 23 | lowa | 64.7 |
| 23 | Montana | 64.7 |
| 25 | North Carolina | 64.9 |
|  | 50-State Average | 65.0 |
| 26 | South Dakota | 65.2 |
| 27 | Wisconsin | 65.3 |
| 28 | Alaska | 65.9 |
| 29 | Georgia | 66.0 |
| 30 | Florida | 66.3 |
| 31 | Pennsylvania | 66.4 |
| 32 | Arizona | 66.7 |
| 33 | Wyoming | 67.0 |
| 34 | Michigan | 67.2 |
| 34 | New Mexico | 67.2 |
| 36 | South Carolina | 67.3 |
| 37 | Idaho | 67.8 |
| 38 | Missouri | 68.1 |
| 39 | Texas | 68.4 |
| 40 | Ohio | 68.6 |
| 41 | Indiana | 70.0 |
| 42 | Nevada | 70.6 |
| 42 | Oklahoma | 70.6 |
| 44 | Tennessee | 70.9 |
| 45 | Alabama | 71.0 |
| 46 | Kentucky | 72.5 |
| 47 | Mississippi | 73.3 |
| 48 | Louisiana | 73.8 |
| 49 | Arkansas | 75.1 |
| 50 | West Virginia | 76.7 |



- States aspire to a low percentage of adults with a high school education or less and a high percentage of adults with a college degree.
- North Carolina ranked $25^{\text {th }}$ in percentage of adults age 25 and over with a high school education or less in 2009 at $64.9 \%$. The 50 -state average was 65\%. In 2007, North Carolina ranked $27^{\text {th }}$ on this indicator.
- In North Carolina, 15.7\% of adults have less than a high school education and $27.3 \%$ have a high school diploma or equivalent. An additional $22 \%$ have a high school education and have attended some college, but do not have a college degree.
- North Carolina's high school graduation rate in 2010 was 74.2\%.
- North Carolina ranked $25^{\text {th }}$ in percentage of population age 25 and over with a college degree in 2009 at $35.1 \%$. The 50 -state average was $35 \%$.
- The percentage of adults with a high school education or less measures the number of adults age 25 and over whose highest educational attainment is a high school diploma, an equivalent, or less. This measure includes adults that have attended college, but do not have a college degree.

Sources: American Community Survey, 1-Year Estimates, U.S. Census Bureau (2009); N.C. Department of Public Instruction data on graduation rate (2010).

## 23. Average Annual In-State Tuition at Public Four-Year Colleges and Universities

| Rank |  | Tuition in \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Wyoming | 3,927 |
| 2 | Louisiana | 4,727 |
| 3 | Florida | 4,886 |
| 4 | Utah | 4,923 |
| 5 | West Virginia | 5,049 |
| 6 | New Mexico | 5,169 |
| 7 | North Carolina | 5,196 |
| 8 | Alaska | 5,283 |
| 9 | Mississippi | 5,289 |
| 10 | Idaho | 5,331 |
| 10 | Nevada | 5,331 |
| 12 | Montana | 5,613 |
| 13 | Oklahoma | 5,762 |
| 14 | New York | 5,790 |
| 15 | Georgia | 5,916 |
| 16 | Arkansas | 6,298 |
| 17 | South Dakota | 6,308 |
| 18 | Tennessee | 6,525 |
| 19 | North Dakota | 6,568 |
| 20 | Nebraska | 6,590 |
| 21 | Kansas | 6,666 |
| 22 | Colorado | 6,856 |
| 23 | lowa | 7,154 |
| 24 | Missouri | 7,264 |
| 25 | Alabama | 7,374 |
| 26 | Oregon | 7,439 |
| 27 | Hawaii | 7,475 |
|  | 50-State Average | 7,506 |
| 28 | Kentucky | 7,511 |
| 29 | California | 7,557 |
| 30 | Wisconsin | 7,652 |
| 31 | Texas | 7,743 |
| 32 | Maryland | 7,744 |
| 33 | Indiana | 8,012 |
| 34 | Arizona | 8,083 |
| 35 | Washington | 8,210 |
| 36 | Ohio | 8,617 |
| 37 | Virginia | 8,814 |
| 38 | Connecticut | 8,977 |
| 39 | Maine | 9,048 |
| 40 | Rhode Island | 9,329 |
| 41 | Minnesota | 9,372 |
| 42 | Massachusetts | 9,488 |
| 43 | Delaware | 9,659 |
| 44 | South Carolina | 10,155 |
| 45 | Michigan | 10,170 |
| 46 | Illinois | 10,975 |
| 47 | Pennsylvania | 11,370 |
| 48 | New Jersey | 11,667 |
| 49 | New Hampshire | 11,969 |
| 50 | Vermont | 12,463 |



- States aspire to have low average annual in-state tuition at public four-year and two-year colleges and universities.
- North Carolina ranked $7^{\text {th }}$ in average annual in-state tuition and fees at public four-year colleges and universities in 2010-1 1 at $\$ 5,196$. The 50 -state average was $\$ 7,506$. In 2008-09, North Carolina ranked $6^{\text {th }}$ on this indicator.
- In regards to two-year institutions, North Carolina ranked $3^{\text {rd }}$ in average annual in-state tuition and fees at public twoyear institutions in 2010-1 1 at $\$ 1,773$. The 50 -state average was $\$ 3,179$.

Sources: Trends in College Pricing, College Board (2010).

## 24. State Government FTE Employment per 100 Persons

| Rank |  | Employees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Arizona | 1.0 |
| 1 | Florida | 1.0 |
| 3 | California | 1.1 |
| 3 | Illinois | 1.1 |
| 3 | Nevada | 1.1 |
| 6 | Georgia | 1.2 |
| 6 | Ohio | 1.2 |
| 6 | Texas | 1.2 |
| 6 | Wisconsin | 1.2 |
| 10 | New York | 1.3 |
| 10 | Pennsylvania | 1.3 |
| 10 | Tennessee | 1.3 |
| 13 | Colorado | 1.4 |
| 13 | Indiana | 1.4 |
| 13 | Michigan | 1.4 |
| 16 | Idaho | 1.5 |
| 16 | Massachusetts | 1.5 |
| 16 | Minnesota | 1.5 |
| 16 | Missouri | 1.5 |
| 16 | New Hampshire | 1.5 |
| 21 | Kansas | 1.6 |
| 21 | Maine | 1.6 |
| 21 | Maryland | 1.6 |
| 21 | North Carolina | 1.6 |
| 21 | Oregon | 1.6 |
| 21 | Virginia | 1.6 |
| 27 | lowa | 1.7 |
| 27 | South Carolina | 1.7 |
| 27 | South Dakota | 1.7 |
| 30 | Nebraska | 1.8 |
| 30 | New Jersey | 1.8 |
|  | 50-State Average | 1.8 |
| 32 | Alabama | 1.9 |
| 32 | Connecticut | 1.9 |
| 32 | Kentucky | 1.9 |
| 32 | Rhode Island | 1.9 |
| 32 | Utah | 1.9 |
| 32 | Washington | 1.9 |
| 38 | Louisiana | 2.0 |
| 38 | Mississippi | 2.0 |
| 38 | Oklahoma | 2.0 |
| 41 | Arkansas | 2.1 |
| 41 | Montana | 2.1 |
| 43 | West Virginia | 2.2 |
| 44 | Vermont | 2.3 |
| 45 | New Mexico | 2.4 |
| 46 | Wyoming | 2.5 |
| 47 | North Dakota | 2.7 |
| 48 | Delaware | 3.0 |
| 49 | Alaska | 3.7 |
| 50 | Hawaii | 4.6 |



- States aspire to have low state and local government employment.
- North Carolina ranked $21^{\text {st }}$ in state government full-time equivalent (FTE) employment in 2009 at 1.6 positions per 100 persons. The 50 -state average was 1.8. In 2007, North Carolina also ranked $21^{\text {st }}$ on this indicator.
- The average salary for North Carolina state employees (not including employees in public schools, community colleges, or the University of North Carolina System) was $\$ 42,689$ in Fiscal Year 2010-11.
- In terms of state and local government FTE employment, North Carolina ranked $36^{\text {th }}$ in 2009 at 5.9 positions per 100 residents. The 50 -state average was 5.7.
- FTE represents the number of full-time employees that could have been employed if all part-time hours had been worked by full-time employees.
- States vary as to whether certain major functions are provided by state or local government. The U.S. Census Bureau data on state government FTE does not consider public school teachers and administrators in North Carolina to be state employees.

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau data on state government employment and payroll (2009) and population estimates (2009); Fiscal Research Division (2010, December).

## 25. Percentage of Roads Maintained by the State

| Rank |  | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | West Virginia | 89.4 |
| 2 | Delaware | 84.8 |
| 3 | Virginia | 78.4 |
| 4 | North Carolina | 75.6 |
| 5 | South Carolina | 62.5 |
| 6 | Maine | 37.3 |
| 7 | Alaska | 36.9 |
| 8 | Kentucky | 35.0 |
| 9 | Pennsylvania | 32.7 |
| 10 | Louisiana | 27.3 |
| 11 | Texas | 26.1 |
| 12 | Missouri | 26.0 |
| 13 | New Hampshire | 24.8 |
| 14 | Wyoming | 24.0 |
|  | 50-State Average | 22.1 |
| 15 | Hawaii | 21.7 |
| 16 | Vermont | 18.2 |
| 17 | New Mexico | 17.5 |
| 18 | Connecticut | 17.4 |
| 19 | Rhode Island | 17.3 |
| 20 | Arkansas | 16.5 |
| 21 | Maryland | 16.4 |
| 22 | Nevada | 15.9 |
| 23 | Ohio | 15.7 |
| 24 | Tennessee | 15.1 |
| 25 | Georgia | 14.8 |
| 26 | Mississippi | 14.7 |
| 27 | Montana | 14.6 |
| 28 | New York | 13.1 |
| 28 | Utah | 13.1 |
| 30 | Oregon | 12.7 |
| 31 | Indiana | 11.7 |
| 32 | Illinois | 11.5 |
| 33 | Alabama | 11.2 |
| 33 | Arizona | 11.2 |
| 35 | Oklahoma | 10.8 |
| 36 | Nebraska | 10.6 |
| 37 | Idaho | 10.4 |
| 38 | Colorado | 10.3 |
| 39 | Wisconsin | 10.2 |
| 40 | Florida | 10.0 |
| 41 | South Dakota | 9.5 |
| 41 | California | 8.8 |
| 43 | Minnesota | 8.6 |
| 44 | North Dakota | 8.5 |
| 45 | Washington | 8.4 |
| 46 | Michigan | 7.9 |
| 47 | lowa | 7.8 |
| 47 | Massachusetts | 7.8 |
| 49 | Kansas | 7.4 |
| 50 | New Jersey | 6.0 |



- This indicator was ranked from the highest value to the lowest value.
- North Carolina ranked $4^{\text {th }}$ in the percentage of roads maintained by the state in 2008 at $75.6 \%$. The 50 -state average was $22.1 \%$. In 2006, North Carolina also ranked $4^{\text {th }}$ on this indicator.
- North Carolina ranked $16^{\text {th }}$ in miles of roads maintained by the state in 2008 with 105,104 miles. The 50 -state average was 80,825 miles.
- States aspire to have low state highway expenditures. North Carolina ranked $39^{\text {th }}$ in state highway expenditures in 2008 at $\$ 3.6$ billion. The 50 -state average was $\$ 2.7$ billion.
- North Carolina was the first state in the country to create and maintain a statewide highway system. North Carolina state government also is responsible for all non-municipal roads within county boundaries.
- Roads not maintained by state government are maintained by local government (county, municipality, or other jurisdictions) or a federal agency.

Sources: Highway Statistics, Federal Highway Administration (2008); Our State, Our Money: A Citizen's Guide to the North Carolina Budget (2003).

## Methodology

The data in this compendium represent the most current information available for all 50 states (data on the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico were not included) as of December 2010. The Program Evaluation Division identified the best source of data for each table. When necessary, calculations were done to provide the information in the most useful format.

As shown in the source notes for each indicator, the majority of data are from the U.S. Census Bureau or other federal agencies such as the Bureau of Labor Statistics and the Bureau of Economic Analysis. Data on several of the health tables were obtained from the Kaiser Family Foundation, a leader in collecting health-related data for the U.S. For more detailed information on sources, please contact the Program Evaluation Division.

The data tables display values for each state in whole numbers or to one decimal point. Each state was then ranked according to these data. If two or more states had the same value, they were given the same ranking and listed in alphabetical order.

The data tables also display the 50 -state average, allowing the reader to compare North Carolina to the average of other states. This average was calculated by summing each state's value and dividing by 50 . This number differs from national averages, which are typically weighted and include the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. Each map indicates the states that rank at or above the 50 -state average (in gray) and below the 50 -state average (in white).

Tables 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 19, and 20 present per capita information. For each table the data were collected and divided by the total population for the year the data were reported.

Tables 11 and 16 present information as a percentage of personal income. The calculation for these tables is similar to per capita, but instead of dividing by state population, the data were divided by each state's total personal income.

The information in Table 24, State Government FTE Employment per 100 Persons, was calculated by dividing each state's full-time equivalent employment by the state's population and multiplying the result by 100 .

For more information on this compendium, please contact the lead for this project, Kiernan McGorty, at kiernan.mcgorty@ncleg.net or 919-301-1404.

Staff members who made key contributions to this compendium include Catherine Moga Bryant. John W. Turcotte is director of the Program Evaluation Division.
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