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## Population Change by County, 2010-2020



Source: NC Office of State Budget \& Management

This map reflects population change by county from 2010 to 2020 . See page two for table of exact figures.
Notes:
(1) Counties shaded in blue experienced population growth. Counties in orange experienced population decline.
(2) Cities marked represent the State's 25 most populous cities as of July 2019.

Population Change by County, 2010-2020

| County (A-C) | Change |
| :--- | ---: |
| Alamance | $15 \%$ |
| Alexander | $4 \%$ |
| Alleghany | $3 \%$ |
| Anson | $-6 \%$ |
| Ashe | $3 \%$ |
| Avery | $2 \%$ |
| Beaufort | $-1 \%$ |
| Bertie | $-8 \%$ |
| Bladen | $-2 \%$ |
| Brunswick | $35 \%$ |
| Buncombe | $12 \%$ |
| Burke | $2 \%$ |
| Cabarrus | $21 \%$ |
| Caldwell | $7 \%$ |
| Camden | $7 \%$ |
| Carteret | $4 \%$ |
| Caswell | $22 \%$ |
| Catawba | $9 \%$ |
| Chatham | $-4 \%$ |
| Cherokee | $14 \%$ |
| Chowan | $2 \%$ |
| Clay | $-3 \%$ |
| Cleveland | $0 \%$ |
| Columbus | $2 \%$ |
| Craven | $18 \%$ |
| Cumberland |  |
| Currituck |  |
|  |  |
| Saresofic | 7 |


| County (D-L) | Change |
| :--- | ---: |
| Dare | $11 \%$ |
| Davidson | $5 \%$ |
| Davie | $7 \%$ |
| Duplin | $2 \%$ |
| Durham | $18 \%$ |
| Edgecombe | $-7 \%$ |
| Forsyth | $9 \%$ |
| Franklin | $15 \%$ |
| Gaston | $9 \%$ |
| Gates | $0 \%$ |
| Graham | $-2 \%$ |
| Granville | $8 \%$ |
| Greene | $-1 \%$ |
| Guilford | $11 \%$ |
| Halifax | $-7 \%$ |
| Harnett | $19 \%$ |
| Haywood | $8 \%$ |
| Henderson | $12 \%$ |
| Hertford | $-3 \%$ |
| Hoke | $17 \%$ |
| Hyde | $-11 \%$ |
| Iredell | $15 \%$ |
| Jackson | $11 \%$ |
| Johnston | $25 \%$ |
| Jones | $1 \%$ |
| Lee | $8 \%$ |
| Lenoir | $-6 \%$ |
| Lincoln | $13 \%$ |


| County (M-R) | Change |
| :--- | ---: |
| Macon | $9 \%$ |
| Madison | $10 \%$ |
| Martin | $-6 \%$ |
| McDowell | $4 \%$ |
| Mecklenburg | $23 \%$ |
| Mitchell | $-2 \%$ |
| Montgomery | $0 \%$ |
| Moore | $16 \%$ |
| Nash | $0 \%$ |
| New Hanover | $18 \%$ |
| Northampton | $-8 \%$ |
| Onslow | $9 \%$ |
| Orange | $11 \%$ |
| Pamlico | $1 \%$ |
| Pasquotank | $-2 \%$ |
| Pender | $23 \%$ |
| Perquimans | $1 \%$ |
| Person | $3 \%$ |
| Pitt | $7 \%$ |
| Polk | $7 \%$ |
| Randolph | $3 \%$ |
| Richmond | $-4 \%$ |
| Robeson | $-3 \%$ |
| Rockingham | $-2 \%$ |
| Rowan | $4 \%$ |
| Rutherford | $2 \%$ |


| County (S-Y) | Change |
| :--- | ---: |
| Sampson | $1 \%$ |
| Scotland | $-1 \%$ |
| Stanly | $6 \%$ |
| Stokes | $-2 \%$ |
| Surry | $-1 \%$ |
| Swain | $1 \%$ |
| Transylvania | $8 \%$ |
| Tyrrell | $-4 \%$ |
| Union | $20 \%$ |
| Vance | $2 \%$ |
| Wake | $22 \%$ |
| Warren | $-5 \%$ |
| Washington | $-9 \%$ |
| Watauga | $15 \%$ |
| Wayne | $3 \%$ |
| Wilkes | $2 \%$ |
| Wilson | $2 \%$ |
| Yadkin | $-1 \%$ |
| Yancey | $6 \%$ |
|  |  |

Source: Office of State Budget and Management

